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Objectives of Presentation

• To describe the relationship between type 1 diabetes (T1D) and mental health disorders

• To appreciate the current gaps in care for adolescents with T1D

• To discuss the value of an integrated collaborative care model for the treatment of T1D and co-occurring mental health disorders
MEDICAL PSYCHIATRY ALLIANCE

4 PARTNERS
Centre for Addiction & Mental Health, Hospital for Sick Children, Trillium Health Partners, University of Toronto

6 YEAR project that aims to better integrate care for patients with co-occurring physical and mental health illness.

1...2...3 Funding Sources
Anonymous Donor, Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, Partners

$60 MILLION
Patient Population & Problem

Diabetes Mellitus

2nd Most Common Chronic Disease in Child and Youth

Depression 3x Greater than in the general population

Trillium Health Partners

Over 600 pediatric diabetes patients/year

No systematic mental health screening
Elements of Collaborative Care

**Principles**

1. Patient-Centered Care
2. Population-Based Care
3. Measurement-Based Treatment to Target
4. Evidence-Based Care
5. Accountable Care

**Tasks**

1. Patient identification and diagnosis
2. Engagement in integrated-care program
3. Evidence-based treatment
4. Systematic follow-up, treatment adjustment, and relapse prevention
5. Communication and care coordination
6. Systematic psychiatric case review and consultation
7. Program oversight and quality improvement

*Source: Adapted from the AIMS Centre (Advanced Integrated Mental Health Solutions, University of Washington)*
Principles of our model

1. Holistic and individualized care plan developed with providers, patients, and families

2. Adolescents with Type 1 diabetes ages 13 – 18 years old

3. Glycemic control (Hemoglobin A1c) and WHO-5 Emotional Well-being Index
   PHQ for Adolescents depression screener

4. Providing recommended psychosocial and mental health screening and support

5. Quality outcomes are continuously evaluated
Tasks in our Model

1. Screening of all patients
2. Clinic offers treatment with integrated goals
3. Motivational Interviewing Cognitive Behavioral Therapy
4. Individualized treatment plans adjusted as needed
5. Improved communication with the Primary Care Practitioners
6. Systematic case review and consultation with SickKids TeleLink Mental Health
7. Program oversight and quality improvement
The MIND Youth Questionnaire (MY-Q)

- Actionable (clear scoring algorithm, assessing clinically relevant/modifiable factors)
- Comprehensive (topic should cover areas of life affected and majority of patients should feel assessment is not lacking a critical area)
- Acceptable (high face validity, patient/clinical acceptability)
- Brief (maximum administration time of 15 min)
- Psychometrically reliable (with minimal item redundancy)

(de Wit, 2012)
MY-Q

- General QoL
- “MyLife”
  - Social life
  - Friends
  - Family
  - School
- “MyDiabetes”
  - Diabetes management
  - Worries
  - Treatment barriers
  - Self-efficacy
  - Satisfaction
- “MySelf”
  - Problematic eating
  - Emotional well-being (WHO-5)
  - Open-ended question about recent positive or negative events
  - Open-ended question about any other issues

(de Wit, 2012)
Initial Project Results
# Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>15.8 (SD 1.7) years</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>12-19 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Patient Identification

Screened and engaged in discussion of quality of life and mental health

- Emotional Well-Being Concerns
- Mental Health Diagnosis

100% N = 136
24% N = 32
10% N = 13
MY-Q

- 89% of adolescents had one or more concerning response
- 43% had 4 or more concerning responses
  - 50% had one or more concerning response on social impact subscale (school/work, friends, free time)
  - 66% had one or more concerning response on parents subscale (parental support, involvement in treatment)
- 54% had one or more concerning response on body image and eating behaviors
### Responses to Family Questions MY-Q

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>% of adolescent with concerning score ‘all the time’ or ‘most of the time’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. How often does your diabetes get in the way of family activities?</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. How often do you feel like your diabetes is a burden to members of your family?</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How often do you feel that your parents...</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. ...give you enough help and support taking care of your diabetes?</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. ...worry too much about your diabetes?</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. ...act like diabetes is their disease, not yours?</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How often do you argue with your parents about...</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. ...remembering to check your blood sugars / giving injections?</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. ...meals and snacks?</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How often do you feel...</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. ...you have too much responsibility for your diabetes care?</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. ...other people (like your parents or teachers) have too much responsibility for your diabetes care?</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHO-5 Emotional Well-being Index

Early Findings:
• Mean WHO-5 score 16 (SD 4.6)
• 24% (N=32) had score below 13  
  78% female  
  22% male
• WHO-5 and MY-Q Ladder moderate to strong relationship (r=0.59, p<0.01)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the past two weeks...</th>
<th>% of adolescent who flagged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18. I have felt cheerful and in good spirit.</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. I have felt calm and relaxed.</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20. I have felt active and vigorous.</strong></td>
<td><strong>53</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. I woke up feeling fresh and rested.</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. My daily life has been filled with things that interest me.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PHQ-9 A depression screener

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHQ-9 Symptom description</th>
<th>% of Patients N=27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No symptoms</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal symptoms</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEAN total score</td>
<td>6.5 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No symptoms: 33%
Minimal symptoms: 49%
Mild: 7%
Moderate: 11%
Severe: 0%
MEAN total score: 6.5 (4.8)
## Psychiatric Diagnoses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychiatric Diagnoses</th>
<th>Occurrence N=9*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety Disorders</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive Disorders</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma and Stressor-Related Disorders</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic and Other Related Disorders</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use Disorders</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glycemic control & emotional well-being

73% of patients had HbA1c outside of the target range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HbA1C</th>
<th>N=193</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In target (&lt; 7.5%)</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above target (7.5%-9%)</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well above target (&gt;9%)</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

68% of patients felt in control of their diabetes “often” or “always”

No relationship was found between WHO-5 scores and HbA1c levels
Diabetes team members appreciate benefits of a more integrated approach to patient care

“The biggest change was probably in the interaction between the nurse and the social work component. So while social work was always sort of honing in on social supports and those kinds of things and nursing always on the medical supports, there was always [needed to be] a reason for them to case consult based on their flags about a patient. But they were now starting to feel like they could be experts in mental health together or that they could co-learn and co-deliver strategies on how to manage diabetes along with mood or sadness or those kinds of things. So I think that was really neat to see.” Diabetes Team Participant 7
Collaborative care project normalizes a more holistic approach to diabetes care

“The tool being given in clinic may actually legitimize it for both parents and youth as part of routine care....So the normalization, the discussion of quality of life as they relate to Type 1 diabetes seems to have a floodgate, an opening on some patients. So, we're getting some immediate and emotional answers. Some patients report feeling a sense of relief at being able to discuss certain issues even though they may have been asked in the past. It may be easier for you to speak openly in clinic versus in a separate social work appointment.” Diabetes Team participant 3
Youth with diabetes like the holistic approach to diabetes management

“Yeah, so the other professionals that she saw, they were very supportive and they wanted to hear about it and they wanted to understand it. But they just didn’t understand the same way impact that the illness was having on her mental health. They just couldn’t connect with it. It took a lot of explaining. And we didn’t get that when we were at [this] clinic because they were so informed and so in tuned to what she was going through... And the things that they talked about were actually pretty much the same conversations that she’s been having with the other counseling people that she had seen. But being able to weave the diabetes into it and its impact here and there, it just seemed to make a – it just treated my daughter as a whole person instead of pieces of her. And I think that’s what the key was that she felt as though she was a whole person getting whatever treatment she needed.” Family participant 1
Youth with diabetes like the holistic approach to diabetes management

“I think it was nice just to be able to talk about your problems with them, that aren’t just about your numbers, and your health. And it was not just advice. It was like they listen, and they understand that it’s difficult.” Patient participant 2

“I think it’s good because I don’t always think of all those questions that they ask and then like once I’m answering them it makes me really think of them more, so I think it’s good....I think it’s a lot better because before they just focused on the physical and now they kind of look at everything overall. So I think it’s a lot better.” Patient participant 3
Strengths

• Intervention that focuses on an integrated biopsychosocial model has had a positive impact on diabetes care for youth with diabetes, their families and the diabetes care team.

• Diabetes team members appreciate sharing multidisciplinary diabetes physical and mental health management knowledge and skills.

• Intervention was improved through the addition of project management and administrative support for research conducted in a busy clinical environment.
Challenges

• Workflow and workload – collaborative care model brings an element of unpredictability into a busy clinical environment

• Intervention suggests need for improved supports systems for family members of youth with diabetes who may also face MH challenges

• Need for improved technology and resources for telepsychiatry
Next Steps

• Evaluation of between clinic differences
• Longitudinal data collection and analysis to examine changes over time
• Explore opportunities for peer, caregiver, and group mental health support
• Consideration of additional populations for implementation of systematic screening
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