



Challenges in Implementing Depression Self-care Interventions for Adults with Chronic Physical Illness

CCMHCC, Montreal, June 28, 2013

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment Self-Care

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

Jane McCusker, MD DrPH

*Department of Epidemiology,
Biostatistics and Occupational Health,
McGill University,
St. Mary's Research Centre*



CO-INVESTIGATORS

Martin Cole, MD

*Department of Psychiatry,
McGill University; Geriatric
Psychiatry Division, St. Mary's Hospital Center*



Kim Lavoie, PhD

*Professor, Department of Psychology,
Université du Québec à Montréal; Researcher,
Hôpital du Sacré-Coeur de Montréal and Montreal
Heart Institute*

Maida Sewitch, PhD

*Department of Medicine,
McGill University*



Erin Strumpf, PhD

*Department of Economics
and Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics
and Occupational Health, McGill University*

Tamara Sussman, PhD

School of Social Work, McGill University

Mark Yaffe, MDCM MCISc

*Department of Family Medicine,
McGill University; Family physicians, St. Mary's
Hospital Center*

Conflict of interest

- This research was funded by the Fonds de la recherche du Québec – santé (FRQ-S)
- The authors have no conflicts of interest to report

Symposium Outline

- Overview of research program (J. McCusker)
- Challenges in implementing depression self-care interventions for older vs middle-aged adults (M. Cole)
- Exploration of family members'/friends' roles (T. Sussman)
- Family doctors' involvement in depression self-care (M. Yaffe)
- Discussion

Why focus on adults with chronic physical illness in primary care?

- Increased prevalence and incidence of depression
- Depression reduces ability to manage the physical illness
- Intervention may improve chronic disease management and prevent exacerbation and/or use of more costly services.

Our research program

- Adults aged 40 and over in family practice settings
 - One or more chronic physical illnesses
 - At least mild symptoms of depression.
- Two phases:
 - Phase 1) Feasibility study: patient, family doctor, and family member aspects
 - Phase 2) RCT of a supported vs unsupported intervention

Feasibility study: Eligibility criteria:

- Age 40+
- One or more of 6 high impact chronic conditions (asthma, COPD, diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, arthritis) for 6+ months
- At least mild depressive symptoms (PHQ-9 5+)
- No suicidal plans
- Not more than mild cognitive impairment
- Communicates in French or English
- Not currently receiving psychotherapy
- Community-dwelling

Feasibility study : Intervention


- Supported self-care :
 - Self-care toolkit (incl. paper, video, audio, and internet tools)
 - Short phone calls from trained self-care coach (non-therapist) for up to 6 months
 - Scripted to provide information, guide, and encourage
 - Non directional, no therapy

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment Self-Care

An illustrated guide to the toolkit

HELPING PEOPLE WITH CHRONIC ILLNESS AND DEPRESSED MOOD



Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention
via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment - Self Care


Welcome to your Self-Care Tool Kit!

You are receiving this self-care tool kit because you have agreed to be part of the DIRECT-sc study. Please note that the items in the kit are yours to keep, you do not need to return them. You may write on the tools, share them with family or friends, make copies... they now belong to you!

As you can see from the note from your doctor, familiarizing yourself with the self-care tool kit may help you feel better in the long run.

Your self-care coach will call you in the next few days to help you make sense of these items. You have no obligation to use these tools and you are certainly *not* being tested. If you don't like any of the tools, you can tell your self-care coach. Telling us your honest opinion on the tools will actually help us make them better!

 
Département d'épidémiologie clinique et d'études communautaires
Department of Clinical Epidemiology & Community Studies
Centre hospitalier de St. Mary - Pavillon Norma et Robert Hayes
3830, avenue Lacombe, Montréal (Québec) H3T 1M5
514-345-3511 # 5060


Fonds de la recherche
en santé
Québec

updated 03-22-10

Helping people with Chronic Illness and Depressed Mood

Depression Information Brochure



Start with this basic information on the causes and treatments for low mood.

Mood Monitoring Notebook



Use this tool to keep track of your daily mood. More detailed instructions are provided in the notebook.

Antidepressant Skills Workbook



The workbook tool offers much more information on the causes and symptoms of depression. This tool requires more reading, but you will find a CD inside the Workbook that will allow you to simply listen to the information printed inside. Again, if you have questions or concerns about this tool, your self-care coach will be able to help.

Movie: "Finding a Way Out of Depression"



This short movie (30 minutes) features interviews with experts and with patients who have overcome depression. If you don't feel like reading the *Depression Information Pamphlet* right away, you can start with this film. You may even want to watch it with a friend or family member.

Booklet for a family member or friend



If you live with or know someone who is often helping you take better care of your health, you may want to give them this booklet. It will help them better understand how to better help you. You are not obligated to give this to anyone and may keep it for yourself if you prefer.

Action Plan



The Action Plan suggests actions that you can take that may help you feel better. Using this tool can be quick and easy if you read the instructions inside. If you are feeling confused about the tool, don't worry—your self-care coach can help. He/she will call you soon.

Community Resources List



If you can't think of a new activity to plan, this will be a useful list for finding physical activities, chronic illness support groups or information, and self-help groups and activities for low mood and anxiety. Call one of the phone numbers, or visit their website, to find out more about what's offered and when!

E-couch Instructions



(This will only apply to you if you like using the Internet – if you do not have Internet access, you need not to read these instructions)
Your portal to the E-couch website is here! These instructions will help you access the website, create a free and confidential account, and get started. The E-couch website relies on text, animations, and audio to teach you about depression, and skills that you can use to overcome low mood which rely on increasing your activity level, re-thinking the way you think, problem solving, and learning to better communicate.

Feasibility study: Methods

- Sample of family doctors recruited
- Short screening form in family doctors' offices (PHQ-2)
- Telephone full screening
- Written informed consent
- Telephone follow-up at 2 and 6 months

Feasibility study: Conclusions

- A telephone-supported depression self-care intervention is feasible in middle-aged and older, chronically ill primary care patients
- Support may not be essential for all patients
- The intervention is feasible either alone or in addition to antidepressant medications
- Use of CBT-based tools was associated with greater improvement in depressive symptoms
- Low FP participation was a barrier to study implementation

McCusker et al., A feasibility study of a telephone-supported self-care intervention for depression among adults with a co-morbid chronic physical illness in primary care. Mental Health in Family Medicine. 2013;9:257-273.

Phase 2: RCT (in progress)

- RCT to compare a supported vs unsupported intervention
- Main changes made as result of feasibility study:
 - Eligibility criteria expanded to include any chronic physical illness or chronic pain
 - Clinics recruited, FP involvement voluntary
 - “Watchful waiting” period (4 weeks) included
 - Intervention changes:
 - Toolkit: structured into core and optional tools
 - Participants guided to most relevant tools and components

RCT status

- 223 patients enrolled and randomized
- Follow-up will be complete in October, 2013
- Poster on patient-reported adherence to self-care tools at 3 months:
 - Support significantly increases active engagement with CBT-based tools

**Challenges in implementing
depression self-care
interventions for older vs.
middle-aged adults**

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 1: Eligibility and consent by age group

| | Age ≤ 59 | Age ≥60 |
|---|----------|---------|
| | n | n |
| Eligible | 43 | 55 |
| Not eligible | 24 | 32 |
| PHQ-9 score 0-4 | 7 | 16 |
| Cognitive impairment | 3 | 10 |
| Receiving counselling at least once a month | 6 | 1 |
| No target chronic disease for at least 6 months | 5 | 2 |
| Reported a suicide plan | 3 | 0 |
| Visual and/or physical problems with reading, writing | 0 | 3 |
| Cannot read in English or French* | 5 | |
| Consented to participate | 30 | 33 |

*If subject could not read in En or Fr, we did not proceed to collect any other information (including date of birth).

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 2: Baseline characteristics of study sample by age group (n=63)

| Characteristic | age ≤ 59 | age ≥ 60 |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| N | n = 30 | n = 33 |
| Sociodemographic: | | |
| Age (mean(SD)) | 51.1(4.3) | 69.2(7.0) |
| Female(%) | 76.7 | 72.7 |
| Lives alone(%) | 20.0 | 39.4 |
| Married/common-law(%) | 46.7 | 42.4 |
| High school or greater(%) | 86.7 | 78.8 |
| Born in Canada(%) | 76.7 | 75.8 |
| French-speaking(%) | 53.3 | 48.5 |
| Low income(%) | 30.8 | 24.0 |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 2: Baseline characteristics of study sample by age group (n=63) - continued

| Characteristic | age ≤ 59 | age ≥ 60 |
|--|------------|------------|
| | n = 30 | n = 33 |
| Health measures | | |
| 3+ chronic diseases(%) | 10.0 | 33.3 |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index (mean (SD)) | 1.9 (2.1) | 1.5 (1.4) |
| PHQ-9 score (mean (SD)) | 13.1 (4.9) | 11.9 (5.1) |
| Depression diagnosis: | | |
| <i>Major depression</i> | 33.3 | 30.3 |
| <i>Minor depression</i> | 26.7 | 21.2 |
| Panic | 23.3 | 18.2 |
| Anxiety disorder(%) | 40.0 | 27.3 |
| Somatoform | 56.7 | 39.4 |
| Alcohol abuse (%) | 31.3 | 17.7 |
| Mild cognitive impairment(%)* | 23.3 | 12.1 |

* Score of 6-9 on the BOMC

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 2: Baseline characteristics of study sample by age group (n=63) - continued

| Characteristic | age ≤ 59 | age ≥ 60 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| | n = 30 | n = 33 |
| Health measures (continued) | | |
| Total number of medications (mean (SD)) | 4.8 (3.6) | 6.2 (2.9) |
| Antidepressant medications: | | |
| <i>Current</i> (%) | 28.6 | 37.9 |
| <i>Previous only</i> (%) | 42.9 | 24.1 |
| Current benzodiazepines(%) | 26.7 | 18.2 |
| Previous psychological treatment(%) | 64.3 | 51.9 |
| SF-12 MCS (mean (SD)) | 37.4 (10.7) | 41.3 (10.9) |
| SF-12 PCS (mean (SD)) | 40.6(10.6) | 40.1 (11.7) |
| Daily activities | | |
| <i>Social activities</i> (mean (SD)) | 10.9 (7.5) | 12.5 (6.8) |
| <i>Solitary activities</i> (mean (SD)) | 5.5 (3.8) | 6.8 (3.5) |
| <i>Productive activities</i> (mean (SD)) | 3.4 (3.7) | 4.7(3.3) |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 2: Baseline characteristics of study sample by age group (n=63) - continued

| Characteristic | age ≤ 59 | age ≥ 60 |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| N | n = 30 | n = 33 |
| Health services utilization | | |
| Hospitalization past 12 months(%) | 30.0 | 12.5 |
| ED visits past 3 months(%) | 26.7 | 15.6 |
| Homecare services past 30 days(%) | 23.3 | 9.1 |
| With FP for 10+ year(%) | 43.3 | 71.9 |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 3: Adherence to and acceptability of intervention at 6 month follow-up by age group

| Measure | age ≤ 59 (n=24) | age ≥ 60 (n=31) |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Coach calls attempt (mean (SD)) | 20.9 (6.4) | 18.5 (6.1) |
| Completed coach calls (mean (SD)) | 9.9 (3.9) | 11.1 (4.0) |
| Ratio of call completed (mean(SD)) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
| Mean duration of coach calls (minute) (mean (SD)) | 11.0 (4.2) | 10.4 (3.3) |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 3 (cont'd): Adherence to and acceptability of intervention at 6 month follow-up by age group

| Measure | age ≤ 59 (n=24) | age ≥ 60 (n=31) |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Informational Tools | | |
| Information brochure (n=54) | | |
| Did not try n (%) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (6.5) |
| Tried, did not find helpful n (%) | 12 (50.0) | 11 (35.5) |
| Tried, found helpful n (%) | 12 (50.0) | 18 (58.0) |
| Internet programs | | |
| Did not try n (%) | 18 (75) | 23 (74.2) |
| Tried, did not find helpful n (%) | 2 (8.3) | 3 (9.7) |
| Tried, found helpful n (%) | 4 (16.7) | 5 (16.1) |
| Film (n=54) | | |
| Did not try n (%) | 7 (30.4) | 6 (19.4) |
| Tried, did not find helpful n (%) | 7 (30.4) | 7 (22.6) |
| Tried, found helpful n (%) | 9 (39.1) | 18 (58.1) |
| Any informational tool found helpful n (%) | 15 (62.5) | 24 (77.4) |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 3 (cont'd): Adherence to and acceptability of intervention at 6 month follow-up by age group

| Measure | age ≤ 59 (n=24) | age ≥ 60 (n=31) |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Behavioural Tools | | |
| Action plan (n=54) | | |
| Did not try n (%) | 8 (33.3) | 9 (30.0) |
| Tried, did not find helpful n (%) | 3 (12.5) | 4 (13.3) |
| Tried, found helpful n (%) | 13 (54.2) | 17 (56.7) |
| Antidepressant skills workbook (n=53) | | |
| Did not try n (%) | 3 (13.0) | 14 (46.7) |
| Tried, did not find helpful n (%) | 6 (26.1) | 2 (6.6) |
| Tried, found helpful n (%) | 14 (60.9) | 14 (46.7) |
| Mood monitoring notebook | | |
| Did not try n (%) | 7 (29.2) | 11 (35.5) |
| Tried, did not find helpful n (%) | 7 (29.2) | 1 (3.2) |
| Tried, found helpful n (%) | 10 (41.7) | 19 (61.3) |
| Any behavioural tool found helpful n (%) | 18 (75) | 24 (77.4) |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Table 3 (cont'd): Adherence to and acceptability of intervention at 6 month follow-up by age group

| Measure | age ≤ 59 (n=24) | age ≥ 60 (n=31) |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Any tool found helpful n (%) | 19 (79.2) | 27 (87.1) |
| Coaching | | |
| Coach calls were important n (%) | 21 (91.3) | 27 (90) |
| Could have used tools without coach n (%) | 13 (56.5) | 11 (39.3) |
| Satisfaction (CSQ-8) (mean (SD)) | 25.2 (4.5) | 25.1 (6.3) |

Summary

Good News

- Older subjects who participated in the study were easier to contact
- Older subjects used the tools as much as or more than younger subjects.

Challenges

- Older subjects were more often excluded from the study because of cognitive or sensory impairment.
- Older subjects who participated seemed to be the 'well elderly' with better overall physical and mental health than the younger subjects who participated.
- Older subjects used the Antidepressant Skills Workbook less often than younger subjects.

Exploration of family members'/friends' roles

Background

- Much consideration has been devoted to defining the roles, responsibilities and interactions between patients and physicians to engage in self-care practices
- Less attention to involvement of family and friends(F/F)
 - Limited chronic physical conditions

F/F involvement in self-care for physical conditions

- Illness-specific support (e.g. support with diet for diabetes) better than general emotional support (e.g. listening and providing empathy).
- F/F support with ADLs (instrumental support) positive impact
- F/F support can be experienced as positive or negative

Objectives

- Examine the role F/F play in a self-care intervention offered to individuals with depression and comorbid chronic physical illnesses
- Explore the relationship between different types of F/F involvement and use of self-care tools in the SCI.

Methods: Sample & Recruitment

- Patients:
 - Patients in larger study completed questions on F/F involvement at 2 months (N= 57)
- F/F:
 - At enrollment, patients invited to name F/F
 - At 2 months, consenting F/F were asked to complete a brief mail-back questionnaire
 - At 6 months F/F invited to participate in qualitative interview

Methods: Patient Measures

- Patients Perceptions of F/F Support Received:
 - 4 category variable
 - (1) did not talk about depression or SCI
 - (2) talked about depression not SCI
 - (3) talked about depression and SCI but no support received
 - (4) talked about depression and SCI and received support
 - what support was most helpful?
- Patient Report of Tool Use:
 - Patients identified tools used and extent of use (0) not at all to (5) completed
 - Mean tool use scores computed <1 = minimal use, 1-2 = moderate use; 3-5= high use.

Methods: F/F Measures

- Patients Perceptions of Support Provided:
 - Emotional Involvement Scale
 - 3 sub-scales urging (0-32); tension (0-36); worrying (0-24)
 - F/F Instrumental Support Provided:
 - (1) yes (0) no
 - Qualitative Interviews
 - Semi-structured one on one interviews
 - Probed general help; help with SCI and recommendations for F/F involvement with SCI

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment Self-Care

Table 1 : Characteristics of Patients with and without a Family Member/ Friend in the Study (n=57)

| | Overall (n = 57) | Without F/F (n = 39) | With F/F (n = 18) | p-value (chi-square) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Sociodemographic: | | | | |
| Age (mean(sd)) | 60.6(10.5) | 60.6(10.3) | 60.6(11.2) | 0.992* |
| Female (%) | 73.7 | 69.2 | 83.3 | 0.342** |
| Lives alone (%) | 28.1 | 35.9 | 11.1 | 0.053 |
| Married/common-law (%) | 45.6 | 35.9 | 66.7 | 0.030 |
| High school or greater (%) | 84.2 | 84.6 | 83.3 | 1.000 |
| Born in Canada(%) | 75.4 | 71.8 | 83.3 | 0.511 |
| French-speaking (%) | 50.9 | 48.7 | 55.6 | 0.631 |
| Low income (%) | 26.7 | 29.0 | 21.4 | 0.725 |
| Health measures | | | | |
| Number of chronic diseases: | | | | 0.239 |
| <i>2 chronic diseases(%)</i> | 33.3 | 35.9 | 27.8 | |
| <i>3+ chronic diseases(%)</i> | 21.1 | 25.6 | 11.1 | |
| Depressive symptom | | | | 0.101 |
| <i>Mild Moderate (%)</i> | 70.2 | 76.9 | 55.6 | |
| <i>Moderately sever-severe(%)</i> | 29.8 | 23.1 | 44.4 | |

* T-test

**Fisher's exact test

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment Self-Care

Table 2: Family Member/Friend Demographics and Perceptions of Support Provided (n=18)

| Variables | n | (%) | Variables | n | (%) |
|--|-----|--------|---|----------|-----------------|
| Relationship with FM | | | Household Income | | |
| Spouse/partner | 7 | (38.9) | Less than \$25,000 | 5 | (27.8) |
| Adult child | 3 | (16.7) | \$25,000 to less than \$75,000 | 8 | (44.4) |
| Brother/sister | 2 | (11.1) | \$75,000 and more | 4 | (22.2) |
| Friend/other | 6 | (33.3) | Unknown | 1 | (5.6) |
| Female | 12 | (70.6) | Instrumental Support | | |
| (missing) | (1) | | Instrumental support | 12 | (66.7) |
| Age | | | SF-12 | n | mean(sd) |
| 30-49 | 5 | (29.4) | Mental mean(SD) | 16 | 50.6 (8.0) |
| 50-59 | 7 | (41.2) | Physical mean(SD) | 16 | 53.0 (7.9) |
| 60-79 | 5 | (29.4) | Involvement score² (mean) | | |
| (missing) | (1) | | Urging mean(SD) | 17 | 1.1(0.7) |
| Born in Canada | 14 | (82.4) | Tension mean(SD) | 18 | 0.5(0.4) |
| (missing) | (1) | | Worrying mean(SD) | 17 | 0.9(0.6) |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| Single, never married, widowed or divorced | 7 | (40.2) | | | |
| Married or living common-law | 10 | (59.8) | | | |
| (missing) | (1) | | | | |
| Education | | | | | |
| High school or less | 5 | (27.8) | | | |
| More than high school | 13 | (72.2) | | | |

¹ Based on the sum of all items related to the scale

² Based on the mean of all items related to the scale

continued

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment Self-Care

Table 3: Patient Perspectives: Partial Support Provided by F/F and Patients' Self-Care Tool Use (n=57)

| Family members involvement and support with tools | n | Use of informational tools** | | p value* | Use of behavioural tools** | | |
|---|----|------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | | Median | [Q1-Q3] | | Median | [Q1-Q3] | p value* |
| Did not talk about depressed mood | 11 | 0.67 | [0.33; 1.67] | 0.107 | 0.67 | [0.00; 1.00] | <0.001 |
| Talked about depressed mood but not about the tools | 14 | 1.67 | [1.67; 2.67] | | 1.00 | [0.67; 2.67] | |
| Talk about the tools but no support | 12 | 2.00 | [0.83; 3.33] | | 1.50 | [0.67; 1.83] | |
| Talk about the tools and have received support | 20 | 1.67 | [1.17; 3.17] | | 3.00 | [2.00; 3.50] | |

* Kruskal-Wallis test

** <1 minimal, 1-2 moderate, 3-5: high

Most Helpful Form of Support Received
 (11) general emotional support
 (6) tool-specific support
 (3) activity-specific support

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment Self-Care

Table 4: Type of F/F Involvement and Patients' Self-Care Tool Use (n=18)

| Family/Friend Baseline Involvement | | n | Use of informational tools** | | Use of behavioural tools** | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--------|------------|----------|
| | | | Median | [Q1-Q3] | p value* | Median | [Q1-Q3] | p value* |
| <u>Instrumental support</u> | | | | | 0.362 | | | 0.012 |
| | no | 6 | 1.7 | [1.3; 2.0] | | 0.5 | [0.0; 0.7] | |
| | yes | 12 | 1.5 | [0.0; 1.7] | | 2.0 | [0.8; 3.2] | |
| <u>Emotional involvement:</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Urging | | | | | 0.422 | | | 0.806 |
| | <8 | 10 | 1.7 | [1.3; 1.7] | | 1.0 | [0.7; 2.3] | |
| | ≥8 | 7 | 1.0 | [0.3; 1.7] | | 1.7 | [0.0; 3.3] | |
| Tension | | | | | na | | | na |
| | <9 | 17 | 1.7 | [0.3; 2.0] | | 1.0 | [0.7; 2.7] | |
| | ≥9 | 1 | 1.7 | [na] | | 2.3 | [na] | |
| Worrying | | | | | 0.329 | | | 0.314 |
| | <6 | 12 | 1.3 | [0.2; 1.7] | | 0.7 | [0.2; 3.2] | |
| | ≥6 | 5 | 1.7 | [1.7; 1.8] | | 1.7 | [1.7; 2.3] | |

* Mann-Whitney test

Low involvement= less than or equal to sum of items

Qualitative Interviews (N=7)

- Providing General Emotional Support Seen as Important to F/F
 - *“Oh yes she loves to be called – she wants that, she wants me to do the calling. She feels – well no, she’ll call me if she doesn’t hear from me but she would prefer if I show the initiative” [adult daughter]*
- Uncertainty and Confusion about F/F Role in SCI
 - *“I’m not a professional, I can’t, I can talk to her so much but what if I don’t ask the right questions or say the right things?”*
- F/F Involvement in SCI Requires More Support and Direction

Discussion

- General emotional support seen as helpful by F/F and patients (illness specific support for depression?)
- Patient reported F/F support with SCI was associated with patient reported behavioural tool use but
 - most patients did not report receiving F/F support with self-care tools
 - F/F expressed confusion and uncertainty about role
- Interventions with F/F and patients could help to clarify roles and improve adherence and experiences of self care

Family doctors' involvement in depression self-care

RCT FP Demographics

- 42 FPs consented to participate:
 - 78.6% (33) indep. of patient involvement,
 - 21.4% (9) with patient enrollment.
- 59.5% (25/42) completed at least part of study entry questionnaire

RCT FP Demographics

- Female: 54.2%
- Predominant ($\geq 95\%$) source of pay:
 - Fee for service: 72% (18)
 - Hourly or salary: 28% (7)

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

RCT FP Demographics

| <u>AGE</u> (n=24) | <u>%</u> | <u>N</u> (n=24) |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|
| 20-30 | 16.7 | 4 |
| 31-40 | 12.5 | 3 |
| 41-50 | 33.3 | 8 |
| 51-60 | 20.8 | 5 |
| 60+ | 16.7 | 4 |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

RCT FP Demographics

| <u>Years in practice</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>N</u> (n=24) |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| 0-5 | 25.0 | 6 |
| 6-10 | 4.2 | 1 |
| 11-20 | 25.0 | 6 |
| 21-40 | 45.8 | 11 |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

RCT FP Demographics

| | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|------|------|--------------------|------|------|-----|
| <u>FMG</u> | | | | <u>Non FMG</u> | | | |
| 17 | | | | 8 | | | |
| CR | FMU | CLSC | Solo | GrP | Poly | Solo | FMU |
| 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |

RCT FP Demographics

- 22/25 have RNs in practice, 77.3 of RNs working on doctors' patient roster.
- 16/24 have psychologist on-site, 56.3% working with their own patients.
- 3/24 have social worker on-site, 2/3 working with doctors' roster.

How do demographics of FPs compare: feasibility study vs. RCT

- No significant differences in distribution of FP age, sex, type of remuneration, years in practice, presence of SW or psychologist,

How do demographics of FPs compare: feasibility study vs. RCT

Significant differences: More RCT FPs

1. in FMGs ($p < 0.001$)
2. in practices where government involvement (none, some, high) is some to high ($p < 0.018$)
3. in group practice compared to solo
4. had RNs working with their patients

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Use of Depression Screening Tools (ST) No statistical difference

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Use of depression ST for any reason | 52% (13/25) |
| Most common use of ST (n=13) | --38.5% to confirm diagnosis. --38.5% to monitor progress. |
| If never use: | --72.3% for screening --58.3 % for assessment |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

For patients with depressive symptoms do you usually?

| | <u>Pilot</u> | | <u>RCT</u> | | Fisher's exact test P=0.340 |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------|------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | |
| Assess and treat | 36 | 81.8 | 18 | 72.0 | |
| Assess, consult, then F/U | 8 | 18.2 | 6 | 24.0 | |
| Refer for all care | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.0 | |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Before being recruited to this study, how familiar were you with concept of patient self-care for chronic physical illness?

| | Pilot | | RCT | | Fisher's exact test P=1.000 |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | |
| Not at all | 6 | 12.0 | 3 | 12.0 | |
| Somewhat | 23 | 46.0 | 11 | 44.0 | |
| Mod-Very | 21 | 42.0 | 11 | 44.0 | |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Before being recruited to this study, how familiar were you with concept of patient self-care for depression management ?

| | Pilot | | RCT | | Fisher's exact test P=1.000 |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | |
| Not at all | 17 | 33.3 | 8 | 32.0 | |
| Somewhat | 27 | 52.9 | 13 | 52.0 | |
| Mod-Very | 7 | 13.7 | 4 | 16.0 | |

Self-care Familiarity: Chronic Disease vs. Depression

- A comparison of familiarity with self-care for depression vs. chronic illness, before study onset, was done for each sample.
- For both the feasibility study and the RCT, because of small sample sizes, Fisher's Exact Tests were performed.
- No statistically significant findings were obtained.
- However, Cramer's V finding of 0.3934 for the feasibility study and 0.400 for the RCT was strongly suggestive that familiarity was greater for chronic illness than for depression within each sample.

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Before being recruited to this study, how effective did you believe self-care options are for those with chronic physical illness?

| | Pilot | | RCT | | Fisher's exact test P=0.075 |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | |
| Not at all / somewhat | 14 | 35.0 | 2 | 9.1 | |
| Moderately | 16 | 40.0 | 12 | 54.5 | |
| Very | 10 | 25.0 | 8 | 36.4 | |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Before being recruited to this study, how effective did you believe self-care options are for those with chronic physical illness?

| | Pilot | | RCT | | Fisher's exact test P=0.083 |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | |
| Not at all / somewhat | 10 | 21.7 | 1 | 4.5 | |
| Moderately | 22 | 47.8 | 9 | 40.9 | |
| Very | 14 | 30.4 | 12 | 54.5 | |

Project DIRECT-sc

Depression Intervention via Referral, Education and Collaborative Treatment
Self-Care

Before being recruited to this study, how effective did you believe self-care options are for those with depressive symptoms?

| | Pilot | | RCT | | Fisher's exact test P=0.075 |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>N</u> | <u>%</u> | |
| Not at all / somewhat | 14 | 35.0 | 2 | 9.1 | |
| Moderately | 16 | 40.0 | 12 | 54.5 | |
| Very | 10 | 25.0 | 8 | 36.4 | |

Self-care Effectiveness: Chronic Disease vs. Depression

- A comparison of effectiveness of self-care for depression vs. chronic illness, before study onset, was done for each sample.
- For both the feasibility study and the RCT, because of small sample sizes, Fisher's Exact Tests were performed.
- For the feasibility study, those who felt there was effectiveness for chronic disease also felt effectiveness for depression ($p=0.009$, Cramer $V=0.4277$).
- For the RCT this relationship approached significance ($p=0.06$), while a Cramer V of 0.4126 supported the correlation.

Conclusion about FP recruitment

- The data obtained from the FPs appears comparable, regardless of how the FPs are recruited.

Challenges in Implementing Depression Self-care Interventions for Adults with Chronic Physical Illness

Discussion

Outline (reminder)

- Overview of research program (J. McCusker)
- Challenges in implementing depression self-care interventions for older vs middle-aged adults (M. Cole)
- Exploration of family members'/friends' roles (T. Sussman)
- Family doctors' involvement in depression self-care (M. Yaffe)